arXiv:1303.6132v1 [math.KT] 25 Mar 2013

TORSION HOMOLOGY OF ARITHMETIC LATTICES AND K_2 OF IMAGINARY FIELDS

VINCENT EMERY

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected semisimple real algebraic group such that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ has trivial center and no compact factor. We denote by X the associated symmetric space, i.e., the homogeneous space $X = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})/K$ where K is a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$. Many properties of torsion-free lattices $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ can be studied with the help of geometry, by analyzing the corresponding locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma\backslash X$. For example, a theorem of Gromov shows that the Betti numbers of Γ are bounded linearly by the covolume, i.e., there exists a constant $C_{\mathbf{G}}$ such that

$$\dim H_j(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \leq C_{\mathbf{G}} \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X). \tag{1}$$

Recently, Samet has extended this result to the case of lattices that may contain torsion [8]. Note that these results are valid in a more general context than symmetric spaces.

Lately, much interest in the torsion part in the integral homology of lattices – especially arithmetic lattices – has arised, due to connection with number theory (cf. [1, 5]). We will denote by $H_j(\Gamma)$ the homology with integral coefficients, and by $H_j(\Gamma)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ its torsion part. In [7] Gelander proved that each noncompact arithmetic manifold has the homotopy type of a simplicial complex whose size is linearly bounded by the volume. This shows (cf. Lemma 5) that for nonuniform torsion-free arithmetic lattices, $\log|H_j(\Gamma)_{\mathrm{tors}}|$ is linearly bounded by $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)$. Note that $\log|\cdot|$ for finite sets is analogue to the dimension and thus this result provides a version of (1) for the torsion. Note also that Gelander has conjectured that his result holds for compact and/or nonarithmetic manifolds as well – with the notable exception of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where the result would contradict the fact that the volume spectrum is not discrete.

In this article we show that Gelander's result can also be used – at least for suitable $\mathbf{G}$ – to bound the torsion homology of nonuniform arithmetic lattices $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$, without the restriction that Γ is torsion-free. Namely, in §2 we prove the following theorem. Recall that a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ is called irreducible if it has dense image in each nontrivial factor of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^\circ$.

Date: March 26, 2013.

Supported by Swiss National Science Foundation, Project number `PA00P2-139672`.

Theorem 1. *Let* $\mathbf{G}$ *be as above such that for all irreducible lattices* $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ *we have* $H_q(\Gamma,\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ *for* $q = 1,\dots,j$. *Then there exists a constant* $C_{\mathbf{G}} > 0$ *such that for each irreducible nonuniform arithmetic lattice* $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ *the following bound on torsion homology holds:*

$$\log|H_j(\Gamma)| \leq C_{\mathbf{G}} \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X).$$

Superrigity of lattices (which holds for all $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ except $\mathrm{PO}(n,1)$ and $\mathrm{PU}(n,1)$) implies at once arithmeticity and the vanishing of the first Betti number. Thus, for the first homology our theorem reads:

Corollary 2. *If* $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ *is not locally isomorphic to* $\mathrm{PO}(n,1)$ *or* $\mathrm{PU}(n,1)$ *then there exists a constant* $C_{\mathbf{G}} > 0$ *such that for each irreducible nonuniform lattice* $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ *we have*

$$\log|H_1(\Gamma)| \leq C_{\mathbf{G}} \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X).$$

It is possible that the condition $H_q(\Gamma,\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ in Theorem 1 is not necessary. However, it is not clear from our proof how to remove it (see Remark 2 at the end of §2).

Our primary motivation for Theorem 1 is arithmetic; more precisely it concerns the K-theory of number fields. Let F be a number field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$. We denote by D_F the discriminant of F and by w_F its number of roots of unity. The group $K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is known to be finite and to injects as a subgroup – the tame kernel – of $K_2(F)$. By a theorem of Suslin (cf. [5, Theorem 4.12]), $K_2(F)$ corresponds to the second homology of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$. Using this as a starting point, in [5, §4.5] Calegari and Venkatesh have been able to relate in turn $K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ to the second homology of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$. From their results and Theorem 1, we will show in §3 that the following holds.

Theorem 3. *Let* $d > 5$ *be an integer. There exists a constant* $C = C(d) > 0$ *such that for each totally imaginary field* F *of degree* d *we have:*

$$\log|K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)\otimes R| \leq C|D_F|^2(\log|D_F|)^{d-1}, \tag{2}$$

where $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6w_F}]$.

The work of Calegari-Venkatesh makes use of the congruence subgroup property; the congruence kernel has size w_F, which explains the appearance of the module R in the statement. This also explains why we exclude the case $d = 2$ from the statement: the congruence subgroup property does not hold for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for F imaginary quadratic. In addition, in this case and for $d = 4$ as well, the vanishing of the second Betti number is not guaranteed, and this makes our argument unusable (not merely because of the failure of the hypothesis in Theorem 1). Both these problems can be overcome by considering the S-arithmetic groups $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F[\mathfrak{q}^{-1}])$ instead, so that Theorem 3 should also hold for $d = 2$ and $d = 4$. This will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.

General upper bounds for the K-theory of rings of integers have been obtained by Soulé in [10], without restriction on the signature of F. For K_2 of totally imaginary fields, Theorem 3 improves considerably Soulé's bounds – at least asymptotically (since we do not provide an explicit value for C).

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Akshay Venkatesh for his help and encouragement, and Mike Lipnowski and Jean Raimbault for helpful comments.

2. Bounds for torsion homology

Let $\mathbf{G}$ and X be as in the introduction. For a torsion-free discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ the quotient $M = \Gamma\backslash X$ is a manifold locally isometric to X. We call such an M a X-manifold. M is called arithmetic if Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$. In this case M has finite volume. The following result, proved in [7], gives a strong quantitative relation between the geometry and topology of noncompact arithmetic manifolds.

Theorem 4 (Gelander)**.** *There exists a constant $\beta = \beta(X)$ such that any noncompact arithmetic X-manifold M is homotopically equivalent to a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ whose numbers of q-cells is at most $\beta\,\mathrm{vol}(M)$ for each $q \leq \dim(X)$.*

In particular, $\beta\,\mathrm{vol}(M)$ is an upper bound for the Betti numbers, a result already known from the work of Gromov in a larger context. But Theorem 4 also allows us to study the torsion part in the homology. For this we will use the following result, whose proof can be found in [9, §2.1]. For an abelian group A, we denote by A_{tors} its subgroup of torsion elements.

Lemma 5 (Gabber)**.** *Let $A = \mathbb{Z}^a$ with the standard basis $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,a}$ and $B = \mathbb{Z}^b$, so that $B \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is equipped with the standard Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\phi : A \to B$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear map such that $\|\phi(e_i)\| \leq \alpha$ for each $i = 1, \dots, a$. If we denote by Q the cokernel of ϕ, then*

$$|Q_{\mathrm{tors}}| \leq \alpha^{\min\{a,b\}} .$$

This lemma applies in particular to simplicial complexes, where the boundary map on a q-simplex is a sum of $(q+1)$ basis elements. From Theorem 4 we obtain a bound

$$H_q(M)_{\mathrm{tors}} \leq \alpha^{\mathrm{vol}(M)}, \tag{3}$$

for some α that depends only on X. We want to extend this result to lattices $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ that may contain torsion. Note that there exists a bound $\gamma = \gamma(\mathbf{G})$ such that each nonuniform arithmetic $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ contains a torsion-free normal subgroup Γ_0 of index $[\Gamma : \Gamma_0] \leq \gamma$. This is a well-known fact that follows from the existence of a $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ (cf.[7, Lemmas 5.2 and 13.1]). This proves:

Proposition 6. *There exist $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ depending only on $\mathbf{G}$ such that for each nonuniform arithmetic lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ there is a X-manifold M that is a normal cover of $\Gamma \backslash X$, with Galois group G, such that:*

1. *the order of G is bounded by γ;*
2. *the order of $H_q(M)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is bounded by $\alpha^{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash X)}$ for each q.*

For a given nonuniform arithmetic lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$, let the manifold M and the group G be as in Proposition 6. Thus we have the exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(M) \to \Gamma \to G \to 1, \tag{4}$$

and the homology of Γ can be studied with help of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see [4, §VII.6]):

$$E^2_{pq} = H_p(G, H_q(M)) \;\Rightarrow\; H_{p+q}(\Gamma). \tag{5}$$

In particular, the order of $H_j(\Gamma)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is equal to $\prod_{p+q=j} |(E^\infty_{pq})_{\mathrm{tors}}|$. Since the homology of the finite group G is torsion outside the degree 0, the second page E^2_{pq} has no infinite factor outside the vertical line $p = 0$. It follows that the successive (diagonal) differentials on the spectral sequence (5) do not add any torsion, so that the torsion in E^∞_{pq} is bounded by the torsion in E^2_{pq}. Thus,

$$|H_j(\Gamma)_{\mathrm{tors}}| \leq \prod_{p+q=j} |H_p(G, H_q(M))_{\mathrm{tors}}| \, . \tag{6}$$

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1. The factor $|H_j(G,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}|$ in (6) depends only on j and G, and since there exist only a finite number of groups of order less than γ, we have a uniform bound for it. For $q = 1, \dots, j$, our hypothesis on the Betti numbers of lattices in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ implies that the abelian group $A = H_q(M)$ is finite. It follows that $H_p(G, A)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is equal to $H_p(G, A_{\mathrm{tors}})$. This homology group can be computed by by the standard bar complex (see [4, §III.1]), which in degree p corresponds to finite sums of symbols $a \otimes [g_1 | \cdots | g_p]$, with $a \in A$ and $g_i \in G$. In particular, this shows that the order of $H_p(G, A_{\mathrm{tors}})$ is at most $|A_{\mathrm{tors}}|^{|G|^p}$, which by Proposition 6 is bounded by $\alpha^{\gamma^p \, \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash X)}$. This concludes the proof.

Remark 1. If one forgets about the small primes, the proof can be simplified. Let N be the product of all primes bounded by γ (it depends on $\mathbf{G}$ only). The transfer map for homology (cf. [4, §III.9–10]) shows that the order of $H_j(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}[1/N])$ is bounded by $|H_j(M, \mathbb{Z}[1/N])|$, and the latter is bounded by Proposition 6. In particular this argument only uses the vanishing of the Betti number in degree $q = j$. Note that for a given $\mathbf{G}$ we can be more precise on the minimal value we need to choose for N.

Remark 2. To avoid the hypothesis $H_q(M, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ in our proof we would need to obtain a bound for the torsion in $H_p(G, A_{\mathrm{free}})$, where A_{free} is the free part of the G-module $A = H_q(M)$. The boundary map on a basis element in the bar complex for $H_p(G, A_{\mathrm{free}})$ is given by

$$\partial(a \otimes [g_1 | \cdots | g_p]) = a g_1 \otimes [g_2 | \cdots | g_p] - a \otimes [g_1 | g_3 | \cdots | g_p] + \dots \tag{7}$$

The problem that prevents us to apply Lemma 5 in this context to obtain a good bound lies in the first term: even though the group G is finite of order uniformly bounded by γ, we cannot bound the size of ag_1 uniformly. The reason is that in general (indecomposable) integral representations of a finite group can be arbitrarily large (see [6, Theorem (81.18)]).

3. BOUNDS FOR K_2 OF IMAGINARY NUMBER FIELDS

Let F be a number field with class number h_F. Let G be the algebraic group PGL_2 defined over F, and let $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{PGL}_2(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ be the associated real Lie group (which depends only on the signature of F). In [5] Calegari and Venkatesh consider a noncompact space $Y_0(1)$ associated with G, which consists in at most h_F copies of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)\backslash \mathrm{G}(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$. For F with at least two archimedean places, they prove (cf. [5, Theorem 4.10]) the existence of a surjective map

$$H_2(Y_0(1), R) \to K_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes R, \tag{8}$$

where $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6w_F}]$.

Suppose that F is totally imaginary of degree $d > 5$. Then, $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ has real rank at least 3, and from the discussion in [3, §XIV.2.2] we know that for each irreducible arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{G}(\mathbb{R})$ there is in degree $j = 1, 2$ a surjective homomorphism

$$H^j(X_u, \mathbb{C}) \to H^j(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}), \tag{9}$$

where X_u denotes the compact dual symmetric space associated with $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$. But since F is totally imaginary, the symmetric space of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ is a product of hyerbolic 3-spaces and thus X_u is a product of 3-spheres. In particular, by the Künneth formula, it follows that $H^j(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$. This shows that Theorem 1 applies to the groups $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for F totally imaginary of a fixed degree $d > 3$. This also shows that the surjective map (8) provides in this case the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes R| &\le |H_2(Y_0(1), R)| \\ &\le |H_2(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F))|^{h_F}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The covolume of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is well known and has been computed for instance in [2]. We can use the bound $|D_F|^{3/2}$ for it (where D_F is the discriminant). We will use the following bound for the class number (see [10, §5.1]):

$$h_F \le \alpha(d) |D_F|^{1/2} (\log |D_F|)^{d-1}, \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha(d)$ is some constant. The claim in Theorem 3 then follows from Theorem 1 and (10).

Remark 3. Note that the proof shows that we have the better bound

$$|K_2(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes R| \le C(d) |D_F|^{3/2} \tag{12}$$

for the totally imaginary fields F of degree $d > 5$ and odd class number.

References

1. Nicolas Bergeron and Akshay Venkatesh, *The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for arithmetic groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, to appear, preprint `arXiv:1104.1083`.
2. Armand Borel, *Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic* 3*-manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **8** (1981), no. 1, 1–33.
3. Armand Borel and Nolan Wallach, *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 67, American Mathematical Society, 2000.
4. Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate texts in mathematics, vol. 87, Springer, 1982.
5. Frank Calegari and Akshay Venkatesh, *A torsion Jacquet-Langlands correspondence*, preprint `arXiv:1212.3847`.
6. Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Pure and applied mathematics, vol. 11, John Wiley and Sons, 1962.
7. Tsachik Gelander, *Homotopy type and volume of locally symmetric manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 3, 459–515.
8. Iddo Samet, *Betti numbers of finite volume orbifolds*, Geom. Topol., to appear.
9. Christophe Soulé, *Perfect forms and the Vandiver conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **517** (1999), 209–221.
10. ______, *A bound for the torsion in the K-theory of algebraic integers*, Doc. Math. **Extra vol. Kato** (2003), 761–788.

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, California 94305, USA
E-mail address: `vincent.emery@gmail.com`